

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,860	07/15/2003	Antonio S. Cruz-Uribe	200309104-1	9136
22879	7590 03/30/2006		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			TENTONI, LEO B	
				B. D.
			ART UNIT	PAPÉR NUMBER
FORT COLL	INS, CO 80527-2400		1732	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination			
	10/620,860	CRUZ-URIBE ET AL.			
	10/020,000	Art Unit			
	Leo Tentoni	1732			
		Appeal Brief Review			
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed March 17, 2006.					
 Improper Request – The Req reason(s): 	uest is improper and a confe	rence will not be held for the following			
 The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. Other: 					
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.					
held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month frrunning from the receipt of the notice	appeal because there is at legal in accordance with 37 CFR 4 commailing this decision, or the of appeal, whichever is great FR 1.136 based upon the management.	- A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been east one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 1.37. The time period for filing an appeal ne balance of the two-month time period ater. Further, the time period for filing of the ail date of this decision or the receipt date			
The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: I-+2. Claim(s) withdrawn from consider		s follows:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.					
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A con action will be mailed. No further action		ejection is withdrawn and a new Office this time.			
All participants: (1) Michael P. Colaianni. Mulul (2) Roy King. Poylal Cig	(3) <u>Leo To</u> (4)	entoni. LBT			